

# ALLAH ki hukumat aur insaan ki hukumat nahi

By [AlHasan Ahmed FirstMahdi](#) on [Monday, September 30, 2013 at 8:57am](#)

## SUPREMACY OF ALLAH AND NOT THE SUPREMACY OF PEOPLE

ALLAH KI HUKUMAT K LIYE AUR INSAAN KI HUKUMAT NAHI

### "Arabic Youtube Presentation"

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gKvqgpczFXI>

**This is the translation of the book Haakimiyyat ALLAH laa haakimiyyatan naas written in Arabic by IMAM AHMAD AL HASSAN alaihis salaam .**

Ye Tarjuma hai Kitaab Supremacy of ALLAH And not the Supremacy of People " jo angrazyi tarjuma hai kitaab Haakimiyyat ALLAH laa haakimiyyatan naas jissey AHMAD AL HASSAN alaihis salaam ne likha hai

### For the Supremacy of Allah and not the Supremacy of People

ALLAH ki hukumat k liye logoun ki hukumat nahi.

### In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful

Shuruu ALLAH k naam se jo rahman hai aur kasrat se rehem karne wala hai

**These are the last days, the decisive moments and the days of the occurrence which either lift people up or drag them down.**

ye zamana e aakherat hai , faysle k lamhaat hai aur zahoor k din hai jo logoun ko buland ya pastt karenge .

**Some people have been growing so depraved that they settled at the bottom of the abyss, others started rising so much that they have almost reached and settled upon mountain peaks, and some others are intoxicated and confused, not belonging to any of the previous groups, rabbles who turn to every crowing.**kuch log apnay kharaab amaal ki wajah se itnay past hogaye k jahal k andhere mea jaa miley.aur baakhi itney buland huye k wo log pahaar ki choti per jaa thayre, aur deegar afraad in dono k darmiyaan khillat millat mea aur shak o shuba mea rahey jo naa hi jehal mea hai aur naa pahaar ki choti per . ye wo pastt log hai jo her ek ki kaayein kaayein suntey hai .

**In these decisive moments, these times of the divine trial of the people of earth, most of those who claimed to be Islamic or representatives of Islam, in one way or another, have fallen. Unfortunately, the first to fall were the non-working scholars who kept repeating the demonic saying (the supremacy of people) that has always been reiterated by the enemies of the prophets, messengers and Imams pbut.** iss faysle ki ghari mea , zameen waaloun k liye allah ki taraf se imtehaan hai , wo log jo islam per honay ka daawa kartey hai ya islam k nigehtaan honay ka daawa kartey hai gharq hogaye . Aur badd khismatee se wo sabse pehle gharq huye jo k ulema e

soo hai jisne “hukumat logoun k liye” ka shaytaani naara buland kiya , jo k hamesha se Nabi o rasool o imam k dushmanoun ne buland kiya.

**Only this time, the Great Satan brought it up, so they liked its glamour and fell for it.**

Aur iss waqt sabse barhey shaitaan ne isse buland kiya to logoun ne uski shaan o shaukat per mea gharq hogaye .

**He named it (democracy), liberty, free elections or any of the other designations that they could not reject.**

usne uska naam jamhuuriatt (democracy) rakha khudmukhtaari rakha muft intekhaabi (free elections) rakha aur deegar alkhaab jisse koi jhutlaa naa sakaa.

**They succumbed to it and to its adherents for those non-working scholars and their followers have nothing more than insignificant and superficial knowledge of the religion.**To log unn na-kaam aalimoun k liye uske mutii hogaye aur uski tarafdaari karne lagey aur unke muqalladeen k pass kuch nahi tha siwaaye deen ka ghair ahem ilm aur sat’hi ilm (superficial knowledge).

**For them, religion is merely a reiteration and something empty to talk about.**

Unke liye deen to buss musalsal dauhraaney waali harkat jisme baat karne k liye kuch nahi hai

**That is how those inactive scholars took the Great Satan’s bayonet and planted it in the heart of Ali, the Commander of the Faithful pbuh and reopening the old wound caused by the Shura and the Saqifat Bani Saeda gathering that alienated Allah’s successor from his true right and approved the people’s supremacy which is not acceptable to Allah, His Prophet (pbuh) and Imams pbut. Thus, those non-working scholars approved the alienation of prophets, messengers and imams pbut, and oppressors approved the murder of al-Hussain bin Ali pbuh.**

issi tarah na-kaam aalimoun ne sabse barhay shaitaan ka khanjar lekar ALI AMEERILMOMINEEN a.s k dil per maara hai jiss ki wajah se unke pichlay zakhm jo k shura aur bani saqeefa mea lagaaye gaye they jiski wajah se AAP a.s ka haqq e khilaafat cheen liya gaya tha aur logoun ki hukumat ko barhaaya gaya tha jo k ALLAH O RASOOL O IMAAMAYN a.s ne qubool nahi kiya . Lehaaza unn na-kaam aalimoun ne NABI O RASOOL O IMAM ko alag kardene ka tareekha apnaaya aur zaalimoun ne khatl e Hussain ka fatwa jaari kiya.

**What hurts me the most is that I do not find anybody who stands up for the supremacy of Allah over His land. Even those who recognize this righteous supremacy have given up defending it because they found that in doing so, they are fighting against the violent and unmerciful current. The worst is that everyone recognizes the supremacy of people, and it is even approved by Muslims, unfortunately, except for the few who stayed true to Allah, even though they read the Quran,**

Jo Cheez mujhey behadd takleefday hai wo ye baat hai k koi bhi ALLAH ki zameen per ALLAH ki hukumat k liye khara nahi hua. Aur wo bhi jo haqq ko jaante they unhone nay usse bachaana chor diya . kyun k iss tarah karne se, wo ek bohhot barhey zaalim aur be-reham se muqaabla kar rahey

they. Usse bhi bura ye hai k sab log, logoun ki hukumat per raazi hogaye, hatta k musalmaan bhi raazi hogaye, sirf chandd logoun ko chorker, jo usse naa qubool hee kartey rahey halaan k wo Quran parhtey hai :

**Say, 'O Allah, Lord of sovereignty, Thou givest sovereignty to whomsoever Thou pleasest .  
Surat Aal 'Imraan 3:26**

KAHO : Aye ALLAH baadshaahi k maalik, jissay tuu chahta hai saltanat deta hai.

**Hence, these inactive scholars revoked the fundamental pillar of the divine religion, which is the supremacy of Allah and the succession of Allah's Regent. Consequently, the household pbuh (Ahl Al-Bayt), the successors of Allah on earth, and the last of their descendants the Imam al-Mahdi pbuh, would not exist according to the elections or the democracy adopted by those non-working scholars. In fact, those scholars revoked the Holy Quran completely for Allah stated in it: I am making upon the earth a successor. (Surat al-Baqara (The Cow) 2:30).**

Lehaaza ye na-kaam scholars ne ALLAH k deen ki buniyaadko mansookh kardiya jo k "Allah ki hukumat" hai aur Jaanasheeni e Ghaibat e Ilaah hai. Lehaaza AHLEBAIT ,Zameen per wasi e ilaah aur aakhri IMAM AL MAHDI a.j.t.f kabhi zameen per aayenge hee nahi lehaaza unhonay intekhaabi (elections) ko ya jamuriat ko ikhteyaar kar liya. asal mea wo na-kaam ulema Quran e paak ko mansookh kardiya jab k ALLAH ne usmea kaha hai : Mea zameen per ek khaleefa banaane waala hun Sureh baqara aayat 30

**And whereas He revealed the constitution and the Law in the Quran, those non-working scholars say that the ruler or the successor of Allah is to be appointed by the people through elections, and so is the constitution to be drawn up by them. Thus, not only did those inactive scholars oppose Allah's religion but they have refuted Allah Himself and stood by Satan's side (may Allah curse him).**

aur iss tarah usne ALLAH k dastoor o hukm ko dikhaya , wo na-kaam aalimoun ka kehna hai k haakim ya wasi e ALLAH ka banaane ka kaam logoun k intekhaab karne se hota hai aur iss wajah se dastoor bhi log hee banaayenge. chunaachey naa hi unn bey harkat aalimoun ne allah k deen k khilaaf gaye balke wo to allah hee k khilaaf hogaye aur shaitaan(laanatullah he alaiha) k saath kharey huye

**This is why I thought I should write these words in order to keep any objector from finding excuses and to shed the light on the subject for all to see, even though the truth is clear and free from any ambiguity. Moreover, I ask Allah to make these words one of His arguments on the Day of Resurrection against these inactive scholars, their imitators, those who followed them and fought Allah and the family of Muhammad pbuh, approved their followers, the idols and Satan, and approved the alienation of Regent Ali bin Abi Taleb pbuh and the Imams of His descendants pbuh.**

issi wajah se maine soncha k mea iss per taqreer karun taake jo mukhaalifeen hai unhey koi khulaasa haasil na ho aur iss maamle per roshni daaldun taake sab isse jaan le sakey, halaankey haq to saaf waazey hai aur shak o shuba se azaad hai. Uske alaawa Mea ALLAH se istefsaar karta hun k in lafzoun ko qayamat k din inn be harkat aalimoun aur unke muqallideen jo unki pairwee

kartey they aur allah aur muhammad wa aale muhammad se lartey they aur butt parasati aur shaitaan se raazi they un k khilaaf apni taqreer me shaamil karey aur Ali ibn abu talib a.s aur deegar IMAM ko unke muqaam se alag karne me raazi rahey

**Praise to Allah, Lord of the Worlds. And he who submits himself completely to Allah, and is a doer of good, he has surely grasped a strong handle. And with Allah rests the end of all affairs. □ (Surat Luqman 31: 22).**

Tamaam taareefayn ALLAH ki jo aalameen ka rab hai aur jisney nayk hokar apna munh allah k saamne jhuka diya to usne mazboot kudey(handle) ko thaam liyaur aakherkaar her maamla allah hee k huzoor me pesh hona hai.

**What is democracy?**

jamhuuriat kia hai ?

**Democracy is the government of the people, by the people and for the people. It is achieved through the election of executive and legislative powers. The competences, rights and obligations of these two powers are determined by a Constitution or general law that might partly differ from one place to another, according to society values and traditions. Although this ideology is very old, (we know that Plato mentioned it thousands of years ago) it was not implemented in reality and it did not get close to the reality of the thoughts exposed in the Democracy, except in America, after the liberation war or the separation that the American people - who are mostly English - went through against the occupying country or mother England.**

jamhuriat ek hukmat hai jo k logoun ki hai , logoun se hai aur logoun k liye hai.ye kanoon banaane k liye intekhaabi hoti hai ikhteyaar rakhne waale aur khud mukhtaaroun k darmiyaan. In donoun k huqooq ahal aur zimmedaariyaan ek aam dastoori kanon se pehchaana jaata hai jo alag alag jagaahoun k maasharey k hisaab se badalta jaata hai . baawajood iske k ye nazaria bohhot puraana hai (isse plaato ne hazaarou saal pehle bataaya tha ) lekin kabhi kisi ne isko haqeeqatan naafiz nahi kiya aur naa hi ye kisi k khiyaal me aaya magar haan jab america ki jamhuuriyat ne isse nashar karaa ho - jo k aksar angrez hai jo apne mulk englishtaan (england) k khilaaf hoker america chale gaye they.

**In fact, it may be better to let an American, one of the scientists of democratic America, Martin Dodge, describe to us democracy as it is in the source of the modern democracy. He says:**

behtar hoga k koi america ka rehne waala Martin Dodge, jo k jamhuuriat e america ka science-daan hai jamhuuriat k baarey me bataaye jiss tarah se jadeed jamhuriat iss k andar moujuud hai wo kehte hai:

**“Democracy was created because people wanted to live free ... American democracy did not appear by itself but it was the result of efforts and resistance. It makes individuals their own masters ... Moreover, it presents us a great deal of opportunities, it places responsibilities on every individual of the society ... besides, it paves the way towards endless progress and prosperity ...**

jamhuriat logoun ki azaadi k liye banaayi gayi ...

Jamhuriat e america aese he zaahir nahi hui lekin logoun ki mehnat o muqaable se. Ye her insaan ko apna hee hukmuraan banaati hai ... is k alaawa ye hamey her tarah ka moqah , aur zimmedaar shaks mohaashrey mea banaati hai ... isse hatker ye ek be intehaa taraqqi o kaamyabi ka raasta banaati hai.

**then he adds ... the regime we are following in America comes from the core of the democratic doctrine which gave the country an existence. Therefore, we tend to approve its validity. We forgot that democracy took a long time in order to be established and was only fully achieved after hundreds of years of resistance. It finally reached us because we were determined to live free and because we hate to be driven, as herds, from one place to another. Democracy is when people rule themselves without being submissive oppressed subjects for they hold the first place and take precedence over the ruling authorities.**

phir usne izaafa kaha ... jo tarz-e-hukumat per america chal raha hai wo dars e jamhuuriyyat ki tayh se nikaala gaya hai jiss wajah se mulk ko ek wajood mila hai . Bass hum iski wajah se iski mazbooti per raazi honay k khaayel hogaye. hum bhool gaye k jamhuuriyyat ne bohhot waqt liya qaaim honay k liye , aur mukammil hua takhreeban saoun saal (100 of years) k jadd o jahad k baad. aur phir hum tak aa hee gayi kyun k hum azaad zindagi basar karne k khaayel hai aur bherd bakroun ki tarah ek jagah se dusri jagah phiraaye jaane se naftrat kartey hai. Jamhuriyat tab hoti hai jab log khud hukmuraani kartey hai bina kisi ki farmaabardari ya tashadud hukmuraan k putlay banney se kyun k jamhuuriyat mea wo khud awwal darja haasil karlete hai aur hukoomati ikhteyarat khud pesh kartey hai.

**Under the democratic regime, the society rules itself. Yes, it rules itself, and people occupy the highest positions. As for authorities, they become dangerous once we attribute this description to them. Moreover, if we look this theory up in human history, we will find that it had never existed because people were governed by kings, emperors or dictators who gave their citizens little rights and insignificant individual privileges. Those citizens had no vote or representation in the existing government and they had no immunity, respect or protection either.**

jamhuuriyat ki hukumat mea mahaashra khud hukumat karta hai, aur usmea log aala tareen martaba paatey hai . aur jab hukoomat ki baat karey to ye sab bohhot khatarnaak hojaata hai jab k hum unhe ye sab ikhteyarat de dete hai . is k alaawa agar insaaniyyat ki taareekh mea dekha jaaye to aesa pehle kabhi nahi hua kyun k log baadshahoun shahenshahoun aur aameroun ki hukumatmea raha kartey they jahan unhe bohhot kam huqooq aur salaahiyat diye jaatey they. shehri logoun ki raaye hukumat ki dakhil andaazi k khilaaf thi aur unki koi izzat , nijaat aur hifaazat nahi thi.

**They were subject to high taxes, and were arrested or even sentenced to death for just an urgent sign or desire expressed by the ruler.**

unper zyaada mahsool (tax) lagaaya jaata tha aur unhe khaid kiya jaata tha yahan tak k khatl bhi kardiya jaata tha sirf ek jhalak baghaawat dikhjaane per ya baadshah ki chaahat per

**Democracy was first established in Greece but its roots, which are the most important element nowadays, first appeared in England seven centuries ago, when King John signed the (Magna Carta) Constitution in 1215. In fact, he was not really ecstatic about it because he felt that it involved some sort of submission and acknowledgement for another power to rule at his side with full authority.**

Sabse pehle jamhuuriyat yu-naan(Greece) me a qaim ki gayi thi , lekin uski jarh(root) jo k in dinoun sabse ehem hai , sabse pehle englishtaan(england) me a saath sadiyoun pehle zaahir hui thi jab baadshah john ne 1215 A.D me a (magna carta) is tehreer per dastakhaat kiye they. Halaanke wo khud iss baarey me a waja afreen (ecstatic) nahi tha wo samaj gaya tha k isme a baadshahiyyat k jhukaav ki baat aur dusri taaqat ko tasleem karke saath saath hukumat karwaane waali baat chupi hai.

**Needless to say that heads of States and members of governing bodies refuse to cede the glory and power they have gained and therefore hang on to them for fear of losing them, whereas Democracy stipulates that the power should be held by the people.**

ab kehne ki zaroorat to nahi k shahroun k qaayem karda aur hukumat chalaane waale apni paayi hui kursi(Shaan o taaqat) chorna to nahi chaahenge aur bass isi wajah se wo unki kursi ko thaamey rahey iss darr se k kahin wo isse kho naa de. jab k jamhuuriyat kehti hai k kursi logoun k haath me a honi chahyea.

**Ever since the signature of the Magna Carta, England witnessed a succession of events that lasted nearly four centuries. The process was slow, but it lead to the ratification of the parliamentary system there, when Sir Edward Coke stood before the House of Commons, early in the 17th century, and announced with an unprecedented courage that some royal decrees were illegal because they violated the Constitution, and have therefore become non-binding.**

jabse magna karta k dastakhaat huye hai , englishstaan(england) ne ek k baad ek iske haalatoun chaar sadiyoun tak guzra hai . Badlaav bohhot hee aahesta raha lekin ye parliament ki tasdeeq ki taraf rujuu kiya. jab sir edward coke 17th century me a daar ul awaam k liye kharey huye aur be misaal himmat k saath elaan kiya k kuch baadshahi hukum ghalat hai kyun k wo kanoon k khilaaf hai aur issi wajah se wo zaroori nahi rahey.

**Hence, England was the cradle of Democracy. However, it did not grant its American colonies such a privilege and kept treating the citizens of those colonies as a herd of livestock.**

chunaachey englishtaan jamhuuriyyat ka paalne wala hai (cradle).magar usne ye imtiyaazi haq american colonies ko ataa nahi kiya, aur american colonies k logoun k saath gharelu jaanwar ki tarah bartaav kiya.

**The restrictions it imposed on the colonies only stirred further the struggle for freedom instead of eradicating it. This was the reason, as we all know, behind the outbreak of the American Revolution which resulted in the establishment of the most powerful country of the modern world, the United States of America. Its establishment was linked to the consolidation of the pillars of the democratic doctrine upon (the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution and its related appendixes known as the Human Rights Charter).**

Jo paabandi unhoney lagaayi thi colonies per azaadi ki chaahat khatam honay k bajaaye jadd o jehad aur honay lagee. hum sab ko maalum hai k yahee ek wajah thi jiski wajah se america mea inqelaab barpa hua jiski wajah se iss zamaane mea dunia ka sabse mazboot mulk qaim hua.uska qaim hona jamhuuriyyat k chattan per se jura hua tha (jo k elaan e azaadi aur hukm aur usse jurey hui insaani huqooq se hai).

**We admit that we could not yet establish a (perfect and rational government). In spite of that, what do you think of our happiness..?**

**..of our liberties? ..of our progress and development?**

**.. of the level of our well being and welfare?**

**.. of our health facilities and the safety of our moral and material existence that we owe to our democratic system?**

**We present all of this to every reader in order to contemplate, evaluate and weigh it.**

hum iss baat ko qubool kartey hai k hum ne ab tak ek mukammil aqgel hukumat qaaim nahi ki. iske baawajood k ye saare sawaal baakhi hai:

hukmuraan hamaare khushiyoun k baarey mea kya sonchthey hai?

hamaari azaadi k baarey mea kia sonchthey hai?

hamaari azaadi k baarey mea ?

hamaari tarakhqi aur barhauthi k baarey mea?

hamaari khushhaali aur hamwaar e sehat k baarey mea?

hamaare sehat k liye sahuuliyat aur hamaare akhlaakh aur duniawi maal ki hifaazat k baarey mea jo k hum jamhuuriyyati hukumat mea haasil kiya?

Hum ye sab saare parhnewaaloun ko pesh kartey hai taa k wo isski kheemat o wazan per ghour karey.

**The ruling methods that we achieved did not reach us by mistake; they were the result of tremendous efforts.**

humuraani tareekhay jo haasil huye hai wo ek ghalati se haasil nahi hue, ye ek bohhot hee zyada mehnat ka nateeja hai.

**Finally, he finishes by saying: “And in every step we take, democracy paves us the way towards endless prosperity, by facilitating the path to a wonderful and forever happy life, for each of its adherents, as a reward for their individual efforts. (Know Your “isms” Martin Dodge”).**

Aakhirkaar , wo iss baat per khatam karta hai k :aur hamaare her khadam per jamhuuriyyat hamey laa mehduud kaamyabi ki taraf lejaati rahi hai,jismea jamhuuriyyat ek hairath angayz aur hamesha



khushhaal zindage jeenay ka raasta asaan kardeti hai ,unnn sab ki mehnat k liye jo usse jurhey huye hai .

**Every fair-minded thinker must admit that the democratic doctrine has intellectually defeated all other political doctrines, before defeating them on the real political ground in Europe and in some countries in South America, Asia and Africa, because all these doctrines are ramified from one truth: the appropriation of power by a group or an individual and the behavior of that group or individual and the usually abnormal philosophy they preach, as gods who enact and pass laws obliging the people to obey without objections. Ironically, many fascist and dictatorial ruling regimes claim, nowadays, to be democratic and organize fictitious referendums, homages or elections to prove that they are what they claim to be. In fact, this is the biggest proof of the defeat of all ruling regimes against the democratic doctrine, which explains why they courted it and started pretending to like it, adhere to it or at least heading that way.**

her aqal rakhne waala iss baat ki tasleem karleta hai k nazariya e jamhuuriyyat ne her dusre nazariya ko haraa diya hai isse pehle k wo unhe assliyyat mea europe, south america, asia aur africa k deegar mulk mea haraaye , kyun k ye sab k nazariya ek hee maqsad per baakhi they jismea ek jamaat k pass sab taakat hoti hai , aur unkey akhlaakh mea badlaav hota hai, aur unke khoul bhi ajeeb hotey hai , jiss tarah k koi khuda ho aur apni makhlookh se bina koi sawaal jawaab k hukm ki taameel chahta ho.tanziyaa taur per kayyi naazi aur aamirana tareekhay se hukumat karne waaloun ka kehna hai k woh jamhuuriyyat per hai aur iss k liye wo khudsaakhta istesawaab raaye(voting) ko daleel k taur per pesh karte hai.aur haqeeqatan, yahee sabse barhi daleel hai jismea deegar nazariyyoun ki haar nazariya e jamhuuriyyat k saamne dikhayi deti hai, jiski wajah se ye log ne iska payaam diya aur iski chaahat ka jhuuta izhaar kiya , aur iss jurh gaye ya phir iski raah apnaali.

**Even religious doctrines are nowadays expressing their affection for the democratic doctrine, and their adherents claim to be democratic as well. The latter are, unfortunately, like the pigeon which imitated the crow envying its larger size, but at the end of the day lost its identity as pigeon without succeeding becoming a crow.**

Aur ab her deeni nazariya bhi nazariya e jamhuuriyyat ki chaahat izhaar kar rahi hai aur isse jurhker jamhuuriyyat per honay ka daawa kar rahi hai.aur baakhi k to bass aese hai jaise k kawaahat hai k kabuutar chala kawe ki chaal aur khud ki haqeeqat bhi kho baytha aur ismea usse kuch nahi mila.

**I do not find today a doctrine that fights democracy with valid arguments and suggests an equable concept that deserves to be adopted and classified as a real intellectual counterpart for democracy, other than the doctrine of the Awaited Reformer that exists in Judaism represented by Elijah pbuh, in Christianity represented by Jesus Christ pbuh and in Islam represented by al-Mahdi pbuh. Moreover, the Awaited Reformer is mentioned in other religions as well, without indicating a specific person.**

Mujhey aesa koi nazariya nahi mila jo k nazariya e jamhuuriyyat se aqli daleel per larh sakey aur iski jagah per ek uski baraabar ki sonch laa sakey aur uske darjey per ek haqeeqatan daanishmand



saani(counterpart of jamhuuriyyat) laa sakey siwaaye ek k , jo k uska nazariya hai jiska intezaar kiya jaa raha hai aur wo uski islaah karega , jo k judaism me moujuud hai , aur elijah a.s ka naayeb hai , aur nasraaniyoun(christianity) me isa a.s ka naayeb hai aur islam me AL-MAHDI a.s ka naayeb hai bina kisi ek insaan ko bataaye.

## **THE INCONSISTENCIES OF DEMOCRACY**

### **JAMHUURIYYAT KA GHAIR MUSTAQBIL MIZAAJ**

#### **1- Dictatorship is at the bottom of democracy:**

hukumat e mutlaqa jamhuuriyyat ki jarhd hai

**- this fact is clear in practice because when an intellectual doctrine assumes power through a given party, it seeks to impose its political vision on this country, in one way or another.**

**Some might say that the people themselves elected and got this doctrine to power, however,** ye asliyat bohhot saaf hai , kyun k her aqli nazariyya taakhat ko ek jamaat k zariyea haasil kiya hua hai, jo k apnay mulkiyyat ki nigaah ko issi k zariyea laagu karna chahta hai , kisi bhi tarah se . kuch log kehte hai k logoun ne khud se inhe chunker iss nazariyea kko taakhat dedi hai , Lekin

**I say: that the people have placed this party and this intellectual doctrine in power, based on what is available on the political field during the elections period. The people do not know how things are going to turn out in a year. Therefore, should this ruling regime harm their religious or secular interests in any way, they will not be able to revert it, as the proverb says, "Things have gone past the point of no return, there's nothing that can be done about it now".**

Mea kehta hun k logoun ne hee iss jamaat ko rakha hai aur iss aqli nazariyye ko taakhat dee hai iss buniaad per k jo kuch mulkiyyat me moujuud hai waqt e intekhaat(time of election) me.logoun ko to pata nahi k kiss tarah se sab kuch honay waala uss saal me .iss wajah se kia hukumat apnay deen or duniadaari ko barbaar kardegi , wo aesa nahi karenge jiss tarah se kahaawat hai k "maslaa uss morh per chal basaa jahan se waapsi ka koi tareekha nahi , ab kuch nahi kiya jaa saka"

**Thus, governors like Hitler who wreaked havoc in the land have gotten to power through elections and alleged democracy, and in case there were claims that what happened in Germany was due to the immaturity of democracy at that time, you should know that this is also the case in Italy nowadays. Indeed, in Italy, a group of people came to power and got the country involved in a rotten war against Islam and Muslims, alongside America. Even though the Italian people are currently opposed to this government and the opposition is calling for the withdrawal of the Italian troops, the oppressing party in power insists on keeping the Italian troops occupying Iraq. Hence, we see how dictatorship and fascism have nowadays returned to Italy. We also notice, in democratic United Kingdom, which is the main ally of the United States of America in its occupation of Iraq and the aggression made against Islam and Muslims, that millions of people protested in the streets of London condemning this miscreant and colonial war against Islam and Muslims but without affecting the decision of**

**the British government at all. This only leads us to conclude that Dictatorship is well rooted in the core of Democracy.**

chunaanchey hitlor jaisa subehdaar jisne zameen per tabaahi machaa rakhi thi wo hukumat mea intekhaabi aur sachi jamhuuriyyat k zariyea aaya tha , aur agar koi ye kehta hai k jo kuch germany mea hua uski wajah ek naapokhta jamhuuriyyat hai to unhe maalum hona chahyea k aaj kal italy mea bhi wahi cheez ho rahi hai.dar haqeeqat italy mea bhi ek jamaat taakhat mea aayi aur mulk ko ek jung mea mubtela kardi jo k islam aur musalmaanoun se hui jiske saath america bhi shaamil hogaya.jab k italy k log iss sarkaar se raazi nahi hai aur iske khilaaf hai aur wo chaahte hai k italy k sipaahiyouon ko iraq se bulaaliya jaaye lekin wahan ki sarkaar chahti hai k italy k sipaahi iraq mea rahey.yahan se hamey pata chala k kiss tarah se hukumat e mutlaqa aur naazism(fascism) italy mea waapas laut aayi hai . hum ne ye bhi dekha k kiss tarah jamhuuriyyat e united kingdom jo k ek khaas saathi hai united states of america ka iraq ki jung mea aur jo zulm hua hia islam aur musalmaanoun pe. jab k laakhoun logoun ne london k shahroun mea iske khilaaf bayaana baazi ki thi.lekin iska koi asar british sarkaar per nahi hua. iss baat se saaf zaahir hota hai k jamhuuriyyat ki garh mea hukumat e mutlaqa chupi hui hai .

**2- The biggest democratic country in the world practices dictatorship:**

2- Dunia ki sabse barhi jamhuuriyyati mulk hukumat e mutlaqa per amal karta hai.

**- Although the regime in the United States of America appears to be democratic, it still practices the ugliest forms of dominance and dictatorship on the population of the world. This is a clear contradiction to the concept of democracy. Indeed, a regime that holds a composed doctrine has to apply it on everyone, at any place and time, without any exception. However, Americans want to humiliate and dominate the people of the earth, and treat Muslims with particular contempt and disrespect because they know that the Imam Al-Mahdi pbuh, the Imam of all Muslims, will bring the United States of America to an end. Another fact to notice is that the American Muslims living in the United States suffer as well from discrimination. Where is the democracy then?**

agarchey k tarz e hukumat e united states of america dikhne mea to jamhuuri nazar aati hai , jab k wo ab tak dunia k logoun k saamne ek bohhot hee baddsuurat khism ki hukumat e mutlaqa per amal karti hai .ye ek bohhot hee saaf tazaar o inkaar (contradiction) hai jamhuuriyyat k tasveer ka .darhaqeeqat jo tarz e hukoomat ek nazariyya rakhti hai wo kisi bhi jagah per ya kisi bhi waqt bina koi shart k ek jaisa itlaakh hona chahyea.Lekin, america ki sarkaar dunia k logoun ko zillat aur unnper hukumat karna chahti hai aur musalmaanoun ko khaas zillat o ghustaakhi mea rakhna chahti hai kyun k unhey pata hai k IMAM AL-MAHDI, jo k poore musalmaanoun k IMAM hai wo america ki sarkaar ka khaatma kardenge. ek aur haqeeqat jo dekhne laayekh hai america k musalman iss bhed-bhaav ko sehha rahey hai . phir jamhuuriyyat hai hee kia ?

**3- Democracy and money:**

3- Jamhuuriyyat aur paisa:

**Democracy has no place for those who do not have money to spend on propaganda, lies, falsification of facts, hiring of mercenaries and villains. Hence, the power of money in the**

democratic regime appears in an abnormal way. Parties and organizations start plundering the money of the poor and misfortunate, in one way or another, before treason starts. For instance, in the United States, the Jewish control the course of the elections through money and achieve a minimum success rate of 70% in the nomination of whoever they want in power in the United States, so that the American support for the Zionist State continues. As for the deceptive and false advertising and the power of money over democracy, it is an issue that was constantly discussed in the newspapers in America itself. In fact, I remember that, years ago, I read an article written by an American author confirming that the democracy in the United States is just a sham and an insignificant play for what govern it are deception, scams and money.

jamhuuriyyat mea unke liye jagah nahi hai jiske paas ilzaam, jhoot aur haqeeqat ko jhutlaaney aur zabardasti karne waaloun ko kiraaye per rakhne aur ghondey paalne k liye paisa nahi hai .chunaanchey paise ki taakhat jamhuuriyyat mea ek ajeeb tareekhay se hai. inki jamaat o tanzeem kisi na kisi tareekhay se intekhaabi(election) shuruu honay se pehle ghareeboun ka paisa kheenchna mea lagey rehte hai . ek misaal k taur per united states mea yahoodi ne paisoun k zariyea khaabu kiya hua hai intekhaabi per aur kam az kam sattaar phissad ( 70%) jeet haasil kartey hai jiss kisi ko bhi wo chahte hai k wo hukumat karey. taa k america ki madad zionist state ko hamesha milti rahey. jahan tak k dhoka aur jhoot mashoor karna hota hai aur paise ki taakhat jamhuuriyyat per hoti hai ye baat kayyi baar america k akhbaaroun mea baraaye behass ho chuki hai. aur asliyyat mea maine khud kayyi saal pehle ek mazmon(article) akhbaar mea parha hai jo kisi american lekhak ne likha jisne is baat ki tasdeeq ki k america ki jamhuuriyyat to mehas ek sharmindagaana harkat hai aur ek naa khaabil e qubool khel hai jo k usmea dhoka aur farayb paise k zariyea hota hai.

#### **4- Democracy and freedom:**

4- Jamhuuriyyat aur azaadi:

**there is no regime in the whole world that recognizes absolute freedom; even the democratic regime places restraints on the freedom of individuals and groups. However, what is the extent of these restraints which diminish freedom? And to which extent one can let individuals and groups be free to do whatever they want?!**

dunia mea aesei koi tarz e hukumat nahi hai jo k mukammil azaadi k khaayel ho. yahan tak k jamhuuriyyat ki tarz e hukumat bhi insaan ki ya jamaat ki azaadi per paabandi lagaati hai, lekin iski hadd e paabandi kia hai jiski wajah se azaadi bhi khatrey mea nazar aati hai? aur kiss hadd tak koi kisi shaks ya jamaat ko azaadi de sakta hai k wo iss hadd mea jo chaahe karey?

**Note that it is people who impose those restraints on freedom and that they certainly commit mistakes not to mention that most of them only care about satisfying their cravings.**

**Therefore, in democracy, restraints are imposed on religion, reform, in addition to the Promotion of Virtue and Prevention of Vice, because the divine religion incorporates another law that contradicts the positive law which is the Divine Law. In fact, in democracy, freedom is unleashed in order to discharge desires, corruption as well as impairment, and to sink in the vices prohibited by Allah. Consequently, all societies where democracy was implemented had turned into deteriorated and rotten communities, because positive law protects the**

**person that practices adultery, corruption, drinking alcohol, nudity (for women) and other forms of corruption.**

Tawajjo kijiyea k ye log hee hai jo azaadi per paabandi lagaatey hai aur zaahir hai k wo iss mea ghaltiyan kartey hai k aksar in mea se apni chaahat ko mukammil karne mea lagey hai. lehaaza jamhuuriyyat mea paabandi deen per lagaayi jaati hai , aur badlaav per lagaayi jaati hai aur naek amal ki taraqqiyoun per lagaayi jaati hai aur aqli dalaayel per. kyun k allah ka deen koi aur kanoon per hai jo isse inkaar karta hai Allah ka kanoon ek saaf sutra aur acha kanoon hai. DarAsal jamhuuriyyat mea azaadi haasil karne k liye apni chaahatoun ka izhaar , fasaad aur nuqsaan karna parta hai aur qiyaas k samandar mea jaana parta hai jisse allah ne haraam qaraar diya hai. iss liye jitnei bhi muhaashre jahan jamhuuriyyat banayi gayi thi un saaroun ka meyaar gir gaya aur unka samaj sarh gaya. kyun k unka kanoon uss shaks ki hifaazat karta hai jo zinaa mea muhtela rehta hai , fasaad karta hai, sharaab peeta hai, nangaapan (aurtoun ka) aur deegar kharaabiyoun mea muhtela rehta hai.

**5- Democracy and religion: the divine religion has, undoubtedly, a philosophy different from the democratic philosophy whereas the religion of Allah only recognizes the appointment made by Allah (I am placing on Earth a caliph) which is the appointment of the Mahdi (AS) and only recognizes the law of Allah in our era (the Quran) for us Muslims, whereas for the Jews, God appointed Eliyahu (AS) and his law is represented by the Torah, and for the Christians, God appointed Issa[12] (AS) and his law is stated in the Gospel. If that were the case, how does the Muslim, Christian or Jew claim he believes in Allah and acknowledges His supremacy which is represented by the Mahdi (AS) and the Quran, Issa (AS) and the Gospel or Eliyahu (AS) and the Torah; and, at the same time, recognizes the supremacy of the people and democracy that refutes the pillar of the divine religion and the supremacy of Allah on earth. Therefore, whoever acknowledges democracy and elections cannot be related to the divine religion and is considered a disbeliever in all religions and in the supremacy of Allah.**

5- Jamhuuriyyat aur deen: bilaa shak deen e ilaahi ka falsafa (ki philosophy) alag hai jamhuuriyyat k falsafey se , jab k deen e ilaahi ALLAH k muqarrar karda ko maanta hai jo k Mahdi ka muqarrar karna hai aur sirf ALLAH k amr ko maante hai jo Musalmaanoun k pass hai , aur Yahoodiyoun mea Eliyahu a.s hai aur unka amr Taurayt mea likha hua hai, aur nasraani k liye Issa a.s hai jinka amr Gospel mea likha hua hai. Aur agar ye baat hai to kiss tarah Muslim , nasraani aur yahoodi daawa kartey kartey hai k wo Allah ko maantey hai aur Mahdi ki fazeelat aur Quran ko maantey hai , Issa ko aur Gospel ko maante hai (nasraani) , aur Eliyahu aur Taurayt ko maante hai (yahoodi), aur ussi waqt logoun ki fazeelat ko bhi maantey hai aur uss jamhuuriyyat ko bhi maantey hai jo k deen k sutoon ko nahi maanti aur ALLAH ki fazeelat ko thukraati hai. issi liye , jo koi Jamhuuriyyat aur inteykhaabi (elections) ko maanta hai, ussey deen e ilaahi se nahi jorha jaa sakta aur ussey kaafir kharraar diya jaayega ALLAH ki hukumat mea.

**6 – Democracy from the cradle to the grave: When the course of democracy gets started in a given country, tens of political parties and currents may be constituted. However, since deception, falsification, lies, defamation, advertising and money rule the country in reality, all these parties are dismantled with time, and mostly only two parties remain on the**

political field. In fact, the final result and the bitter end come up to one of these two parties dominating the helm of leadership. In consequence, dictatorship reappears in the form of democracy. Indeed, the two oldest democratic countries represent clear evidence to this situation because they are experiencing the last stages of democracy. In fact, these two countries are none other than the United Kingdom, where both the Conservative and the Labor Parties are dominant, and the United States of America where the Republican and Democratic parties are dominant. At this stage, these parties are in conflict over the absolute dominance of the helm of leadership for Democracy entails a phase of eliminating the weak. Thus, people are currently going through a transition from democracy towards dictatorship. In fact, the domination of two parties and ideologies of the helm of leadership, is by itself, a form of dictatorship if we take the ideological compatibility between them and the absence of any true ideological opposition into consideration. Furthermore, this phenomenon only applies, if no setback occurs after a group of democracy advocates takes over the rule and eliminates the other parties, thus changing from a democracy into a dictatorship overnight. In this regard, the Greek philosopher Plato says (and among the advocates of democracy and protectors of the people will emerge the one who is the most violent and subtle, he then banishes or executes the rich, abolishes debts, partitions the lands and forms himself a garrison to protect him from the dangers of plots. The people then rejoice with him and he takes over the reign. Moreover, in order to consolidate his position, keep the people off him and stay indispensable, he declares war against his neighbors after making peace with them. Thus, he would be able to have his own way in the country, cut off the head of every opponent or critic, keep all virtuous men away from him and bring over a group of mercenaries and veterans. He also gives generously to the poets we banished from our city so they heap him with praise. Furthermore, he plunders the temples and squeezes the people to feed his guards and assistants. Finally, realizes at this point that they've witnessed a transition from freedom to tyranny and that this is the last government) "The Republic", by Plato. I settle for these inconsistencies for abridgment purposes, otherwise the inconsistencies of democracy are so numerous.

6 - Jamhuuriyyat ki zindagi jhuuley se qabr tak : jamhuuriyyat ka sabakh kisi mulk me a shuruu hota hai , dus se zyaada riyaasatein jamaat zamaanaye haal k hisaab se muqarrar kartey hai. Lekin asliyyat me a jamhuuriyyat me a mulk ko dhokaybaazi, jaalsazi , jhoot, ruswaayi, ishtehaar baazi, aur paisa chalaata hai to waqt k hisaab se ye saare riyaasatoun k purzay purzay alag hojaatein hai. aur zyaadatar sirf do riyaasatein rehjaati hai .jo k ek tarah ki haakimiyyat ban jaatey hai (ye uss waqt samaj me a aayega ) agar hum indono k miltey jultey nazariyea ko dekhe aur jisme a se ikhtelaafi koi nazariyea nahi nazar aayega. is k alaawa ye ye ajeeb o ghareeb haalat ussi waqt nazar aayegi jab koi parhaav na aaye aur jab jamhuuriyyat hukum karney lagey aur dusre grow ko khatam kardey , jo k haalat ko haakimiyyat se jamhuuriyyat ki taraf ek raat me a tabdeel karti hai .is zayl me a yunaani hakeem Plato kehta hai : aur jamhuuriyyat k wakeeloun me a se aur logoun k rakhwaaloun me a se wo uthegya jo sabse shadeed aur chalak hai jo k ameeroun ko jilaa watan karegya aur kharzoun ko khatam karegya zameen ko baategya aur apnay hifaazat k liye muhaafiz banaayega jo k usse khatroun se bachaayenge. log uskey saath khush honhgay aur wo hukumat ikhteyaar karegya. iskey alaawa uski hukumat mazboot karney k liye ussey logoun se duur kiya jaayega aur be shaor rakha jaayega , wo hukmuran apnay parhosiyoun se jung karegya unhee k saath jissey wo aman qaaim

kar chuka tha aur apnay her dushman ka aur nukta cheeni karne waaloun ka sir qalam kareyga aur apnay se her achey kirdaar shaks ko duur rakheyga aur apnay khareeb khudgharzoun ko aur tajurbey kaar siphaahiyun ko rakheyga aur shaayeroun ko rakham baateyga jissey jila watani ki dhamki ki wajah se uski hamd karenge . iskey alaawa wo ibaadatgaahoun ko looteyga aur logounka khoon choose ker apnay sipaahiyoun ko bhareyga aakhir mea wo ye samjhenge k azaadi se ghulaami tak ka safar issi tarah ho chuka aur yahee aakhri sarkaar hai : JAMHUURIYYAT : "PLATO" mea issi ghayr masqool mizaaj per faysla karta hun taakey mukhtasar lafzoun meahojaaye , warna jamhuuriyyat k ghair masqool mizaaj bohhot se hai .